Efficacy and Safety of the FXIa Inhibitor Milvexian for Secondary Stroke Prevention: Final Results of the AXIOMATIC-SSP Dose-finding Randomized Trial

Mukul Sharma, MD, MSc; Carlos A. Molina, MD, PhD; and Graeme J. Hankey, MBBS, MD; for the AXIOMATIC-SSP Steering Committee and Investigators



28 August 2022

<<Sample, final slide will be generated by congress system>> Disclosures

- Dr. Sharma reports receiving research grants from Bristol Myers Squibb; receiving consulting fees from Janssen, HLS Therapeutics, and Bayer; and is on the board of the Canadian Stroke Consortium
- This study was sponsored by Bristol Myers Squibb and Janssen Research & Development, LLC

Background and Hypothesis

- Genetically determined FXI deficiency associated with^{1,2}
 - Decrease in ischemic stroke and VTE
 - No increase in ICH
 - Spontaneous bleeding uncommon
- Factor XI plays a less important role in hemostasis than thrombosis
 - Activated by FXII and thrombin amplification



FXI, factor XI; VTE, venous thromboembolism; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; FXII, factor XII; FXIIa, activated factor XII; FXIa, activated factor XI; FIX, factor XI; FIX, factor XI; FX, factor XI; FX,

ESC Congress 2022 Barcelona

Onsite & Online

1. Solomon O, et al. Blood. 2008;111(8):4113-4117. 2. Preis M, et al. Blood. 2017;129(9):1210-1215.

Milvexian

- Potent and specific small-molecule inhibitor of FXIa¹
- Rapid absorption after oral administration (T_{max} of 2-4 hours)²
- Terminal half-life of 11 to 18 hours when administered as multiple doses in healthy volunteers²
- Metabolized in the liver primarily by CYP3A4
- Less than 20% eliminated in the urine in healthy subjects*
- Limited drug-drug interaction potential³⁻⁵

*With spray dried dispersion formulation²

 T_{max} , time to maximum concentration; CYP3A4, cytochrome P450 enzyme.

ESC Congress 2022 Barcelona (Onsite & Online

1. Dilger AK, et al. *J Med Chem*. 2022;65(3):1770-1785. 2. Perera V, et al. *Clin Transl Sci*. 2022;15(2):330-342. 3. Perera V, et al. *Cardiol Ther*. 2022. doi: 10.1007/s40119-022-00266-6. 4. Perera V, et al. Presented at ASCPT 2021. 5. Perera V, et al. Presented at ESC 2022.

Milvexian Phase 2 Total Knee Replacement Study



*Composite of asymptomatic deep-vein thrombosis, confirmed symptomatic venous thromboembolism, or death from any cause. CRNM, clinically relevant nonmajor; CI, confidence interval; QD, once daily; BID, twice daily; RR, relative risk; NA, not applicable.

ESC Congress 2022 Barcelona

Onsite & Online

1. Weitz JI, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(23):2162-2172.

AXIOMATIC-SSP Key Objectives and Endpoints

Objectives	Endpoints			
Primary				
To estimate the dose-response relationship of milvexian in	Composite of:			
participants with ischemic stroke or TIA	1. New ischemic stroke between Day 1 and Day 90			
	2. New covert brain infarction on MRI at Day 90 (MRI assessed by central review)*			
Secondary				
To assess the rate of major bleeding after treatment with milvexian versus placebo	Event rate classified according to Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) Type 3 and 5			

The study is powered to detect the dose-response relationship; it is not powered to detect the risk difference in the primary outcome for each milvexian dose group versus placebo.

*Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) sequence. TIA, transient ischemic attack; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

AXIOMATIC-SSP Study Design



*300 mg clopidogrel LD + 100 mg aspirin. [†]600 mg clopidogrel LD permitted. [‡]The milvexian 200 mg BID cohort (2:1 ratio of milvexian to placebo) was added after 450 participants from the lower doses completed the Day 21 visit.

NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; ABCD², age, blood pressure, clinical features, duration of TIA, and presence of diabetes.

Disposition/Study Flow



*40 subjects were randomized to 50 mg QD/100 mg QD dosing arms that were terminated early and the data were not considered for further analysis. d/c, discontinue.

Participant Demographics*

		Milvexian				
		QD regimen	BID regimen			
	Placebo (n = 691)	25 mg (n = 328)	25 mg (n = 318)	50 mg (n = 328)	100 mg (n = 310)	200 mg (n = 351)
Age, years, median Aged ≥75 years, %	70.0 33	72.0 39	71.5 39	70.0 34	70.5 36	71.0 35
Female sex, %	37	33	37	37	36	36
Hypertension, %	79	78	75	77	78	76
Diabetes mellitus, %	32	31	32	27	31	30
Hypercholesterolemia, %	58	59	55	59	62	64
Smoking, %	54	53	47	50	54	54

*Intent-to-Treat (ITT) Population=Includes all participants who were randomized to a treatment, regardless receiving study drug or not

Participant Clinical Characteristics*

		Milvexian				
		QD regimen	BID regimen			
	Placebo (n = 691)	25 mg (n = 328)	25 mg (n = 318)	50 mg (n = 328)	100 mg (n = 310)	200 mg (n = 351)
Qualifying event, % Ischemic stroke TIA	76 24	73 27	75 24	77 23	74 26	79 21
NIHSS for stroke qualifying event, median	2	2	2	2	2	2
Time from symptom onset to 1 st dose, hours, median	35	36	37	33	34	36

*ITT Population=Includes all participants who were randomized to a treatment, regardless receiving study drug or not

Primary Endpoint and Components*

		Milvexian				
		QD regimen	BID regimen			
	Placebo	25 mg	25 mg	50 mg	100 mg	200 mg
	(n = 625)	(n = 308)	(n = 287)	(n = 306)	(n = 277)	(n = 317)
Subjects with composite event, %	16.6	16.2	18.5	14.1	14.8	16.4
Symptomatic ischemic stroke	6.1	4.9	4.2	4.2	4.0	8.5
Covert infarcts	10.6	11.4	14.3	9.8	10.8	7.9
**Model-based estimate for	16.8	16.7	16.6	15.6	15.4	15.3
composite event (95% CI)	(14.1, 19.4)	(14.4, 19.0)	(14.5, 18.7)	(13.6, 17.8)	(13.0, 18.0)	(12.4, 20.5)
Relative risk (95% CI)	-	0.99 (0.87, 1.10)	0.99 (0.84, 1.16)	0.93 (0.76, 1.17)	0.92 (0.73, 1.19)	0.91 (0.70, 1.32)

*Evaluable Population=Includes all randomized participants with a Day 90 MRI

** Multiple Comparison Procedure – Modelling (MCP-MOD) dose-response relationship of Milvexian

ESC Congress 2022 Barcelona

Onsite & Online

Symptomatic Ischemic Stroke*



• No hemorrhagic strokes occurred

*ITT Population=Includes all participants who were randomized to a treatment, regardless receiving study drug or not

Adverse Events*

		Milvexian				
		QD regimen	BID regimen			
	Placebo (n = 682)	25 mg (n = 325)	25 mg (n = 313)	50 mg (n = 325)	100 mg (n = 306)	200 mg (n = 344)
AE, n (%)	399 (58.5)	190 (58.5)	186 (59.4)	192 (59.1)	193 (63.1)	211 (61.3)
SAE, n (%)	94 (13.8)	37 (11.4)	39 (12.5)	41 (12.6)	42 (13.7)	54 (15.7)
Bleeding AE, n (%)	66 (9.7)	31 (9.5)	27 (8.6)	48 (14.8)	41 (13.4)	42 (12.2)
Discontinuation due to AE, n (%)	83 (12.2)	44 (13.5)	47 (15.0)	46 (14.2)	51 (16.7)	79 (23.0)
Death, n (%)	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	2 (0.7)	0

*All Treated Participants=Includes all participants who received at least one dose of study medication

AE, adverse event; SAE, serious adverse event.

Bleeding by BARC Type*

			Milvexian				
			QD regimen	en BID regimen			
		Placebo (n = 682)	25 mg (n = 325)	25 mg (n = 313)	50 mg (n = 325)	100 mg (n = 306)	200 mg (n = 344)
All BARC T	ypes, n (%)	54 (7.9)	35 (10.8)	27 (8.6)	40 (12.3)	40 (13.1)	35 (10.2)
Type 1:	Not actionable	41 (6.0)	26 (8.0)	16 (5.1)	28 (8.6)	25 (8.2)	22 (6.4)
Type 2:	Requiring assessment/treatment	9 (1.3)	7 (2.2)	9 (2.9)	7 (2.2)	10 (3.3)	8 (2.3)
Type 3a:	Hgb drop 3-5 g/dL or transfusion	2 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	2 (0.7)	3 (0.9)
Type 3b:	Hgb drop ≥5 g/dL or requiring surgical intervention	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	3 (1.0)	1 (0.3)
Type 3c:	Intracranial hemorrhage including symptomatic HT	2 (0.3)	0	0	3 (0.9)	0	1 (0.3)
Type 4:	CABG-related	0	0	0	0	0	0
Type 5:	Fatal	0	0	0	0	0	0

*All Treated Participants=Includes all participants who received at least one dose of study medication Hgb, hemoglobin; HT, hemorrhagic transformation; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.

BARC Type 3 and 5 Bleeding*

		Milvexian				
		QD Regimen	BID Regimen			
	Placebo (n = 682)	25 mg (n = 325)	25 mg (n = 313)	50 mg (n = 325)	100 mg (n = 306)	200 mg (n = 344)
Composite event rate, n (%) [95% CI]	4 (0.6) [0.2 <i>,</i> 1.5]	2 (0.6) [0.1, 2.2]	2 (0.6) [0.1, 2.3]	5 (1.5) [0.5 <i>,</i> 3.6]	5 (1.6) [0.5, 3.8]	5 (1.5) [0.5 <i>,</i> 3.4]
Components, n (%) BARC Type 3 BARC Type 5	4 (0.6) 0	2 (0.6) 0	2 (0.6) 0	5 (1.5) 0	5 (1.6) 0	5 (1.5) 0
Risk difference vs placebo (95% CI)	-	0.03 (-1.03, 1.72)	0.05 (-1.02, 1.81)	0.95 (–0.35, 3.06)	1.05 (–0.30, 3.27)	0.87 (–0.41, 2.86)
Relative risk vs placebo (95% Cl)	-	1.05 (0.14, 5.89)	1.09 (0.15, 6.11)	2.62 (0.64, 11.75)	2.79 (0.68, 12.47)	2.48 (0.60, 11.09)

*All Treated Participants=Includes all participants who received at least one dose of study medication

Conclusions

- Efficacy
 - No dose response for the primary composite endpoint (symptomatic ischemic stroke + covert brain infarction)
 - Milvexian was associated with fewer symptomatic ischemic strokes at all doses except 200 mg BID:
 - Doses from 25 mg to 100 mg BID showed similar relative risk reduction for symptomatic ischemic stroke at approximately 30% versus placebo

Bleeding

- Major bleeding: The incidence of major bleeding was low (0.6%-1.6%)
 - Numerical increases in major bleeding (BARC Type 3) at milvexian doses of 50 mg BID and above; the majority were GI bleeds
 - No increase in symptomatic ICH bleeding (BARC Type 3c) versus placebo
 - No fatal bleeding (BARC Type 5)

Acknowledgments

- This study was sponsored by Bristol Myers Squibb and Janssen Research & Development, LLC
- Editorial support was provided by Dana Tabor, PhD, of Lumanity Communications Inc., and was funded by Bristol Myers Squibb and Janssen Global Services, LLC
- We thank the investigators and patients, who made this study possible despite the pandemic, and all Steering Committee and Data Monitoring Committee members

Steering Committee

Sebastian Francisco Ameriso, Graeme Hankey, Joerg Weber, Laetitia Yperzeele, Alvaro Avezum, Gisele Sampaio, Ashfaq Shuaib, Pablo Lavados, Paul von Weitzel-Mudersbach, Daniel Strbian, Pierre Amarenco, Charlotte Cordonnier, Hans-Christoph Diener, Matthias Endres, George Ntaios, Georgios Tsivgoulis, Daniel Bereczki, David Tanne, Danilo Toni, Kazunori Toyoda, Byung-Woo Yoon, Antonio Arauz, Else Sandset, Anna Czlonkowska, Nana Pogosova, Carlos Molina, Turgut Tatlisumak, Jens Eckstein, Jesse Dawson, Richard Bernstein, David Gailani, Scott Kasner, Helmi Lutsep

Data Monitoring Committee

Donald Easton (Chair), Kyra Becker, David DeMets, Peter Rothwell, Jeff Weitz